

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1459
Alcandrat, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/810,385 03/16/2001		3/16/2001	Allen S. Laughon	WARF-0002 8778		
26259	7590	11/20/2003		EXAMINER		
LICATLA &		ELL P.C.	HARRIS, ALANA M			
66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				ART CIVIT	TATERNOMBER	
				1642	19	
				DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
			09/810,385	LAUGHON, ALLEN S.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu		Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>08 Sept</u>	<u>tember 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊡ This act	tion is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ation and/or o	laction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)∟	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•		n for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
12)								
Attachmen			4) Intention Summer	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I		5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments and Amendments

- 1. Claims 1-4 are pending.
  - Claims 1, 2 and 4 have been amended.
  - Claims 1-4 are examined on the merits.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Withdrawn Objection

### **Drawings**

3. The drawings submitted July 27, 2001 were objected to for reasons cited on form PTO-948 completed by draftsman, mailed as an attachment to Paper number 16.

Applicants reminded the Examiner in the Remarks of Paper number 18 that new drawings were submitted on April 9, 2002. These drawings have been reviewed by the draftsman and found to be acceptable.

## Withdrawn Rejections

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, listed in the First Action on the Merits, paragraph 5 on pages 2-5 as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled

Art Unit: 1642

in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention in light of Applicant's arguments.

5. The rejection of claims 2 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The rejection of claims 1 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Melhuish and Wotton (The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 275(50): 39762-39766, December 15, 2000) is withdrawn in light of the claim amendments.

## **New Grounds of Rejection**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. THIS IS A NEW MATTER REJECTION.

Applicant has amended claims 1 and 2 to include the underlined recitation,
"...complex of interacting proteins comprising a Smad protein, a Smad protein corepressor...". Applicant has pointed out page 14, lines 11-21 of the specification as support for the underlined text in the aforementioned recitation. The Examiner has

Art Unit: 1642

reviewed this section of the specification and does not see the support for the amendments listed. While that section of the specification makes mention of DNA binding co-repressors there is no mention of a complex inclusive of a Smad protein, a CtBP protein and namely a Smad protein co-repressor before or after the addition of a test compound. There is no support for narrowing the scope of the claims to include a Smad protein co-repressor in the complex or limiting the co-repressor to a Smad protein co-repressor. Furthermore, this section of the specification does not support the contemplation of such a complex in the manner set forth in claim 1. Applicant is requested to delete the new matter at which time the 102(a) rejection may be reinstated.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Palmer et al. (The Journal of Biological Chemistry 276(28): 25834-25840, July 13, 2001);

Hirai et al. (Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 48 (Suppl. 1): S35-40, August 2001); and

Melhuish et al. (The Journal of Biological Chemistry 276(34): 32109-32114, August 24, 2001).

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1642

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5880. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 4:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 308-3995. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4315.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. 18 November 2003